

Volume 14, Issue 20 • June 23, 2025

**This summary contains confidential and privileged information pursuant to a confidentiality agreement and the attorney-client privilege.**

**DO NOT DISTRIBUTE OR SHARE WITH NON-MEMBERS.**

### **FirstEnergy**

#### **OMAEG Opposes FirstEnergy's Attempt to Exclude House Bill 6 (HB 6) Testimony (Case Nos. 17-974-EL-UNC et al.)**

**Summary:** OMAEG opposed FirstEnergy's motion to strike portions of OMAEG witness John Seryak's testimony. OMAEG argued that exclusion of relevant HB 6 testimony would thwart a fair and transparent review of the HB 6 issues, including whether HB 6 related costs were passed on to customers, whether the bribes provided undue influence over cases in favor of FirstEnergy, and whether the side agreements with Randazzo and intercompany subsidies violated corporate separation rules and law. OMAEG emphasized that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO) has repeatedly stated that this proceeding is the appropriate venue to examine the numerous regulatory issues related to the HB 6 scandal and FirstEnergy's actions related to it. OMAEG also objected to FirstEnergy's presentation of company witnesses who lack relevant knowledge of HB 6 matters. The hearing is expected to continue through next week.

### **AEP**

#### **AEP Files Direct Testimony in 2025 Rate Case (Case Nos. 25-392-EL-AIR et al.)**

**Summary:** AEP filed testimony supporting its request to recover a revenue deficiency before rider revenue offsets of \$405 million. Among other matters, the testimony discusses AEP's proposals to (1) establish a new, two-tiered cap on the Distribution Investment Rider; (2) increase the caps for the Enhanced Service Reliability Rider; and (3) expand deferral treatment for the Storm Damage Recovery Rider.

Notably, AEP also seeks to re-litigate the data center case by modifying through this rate case the discriminatory Data Center Tariff initially proposed in Case No. 24-508-EL-ATA, which the PUCO has not yet approved. AEP proposes amending the tariff to (1) be applicable to *all* data center customers, (2) establish a \$20,000 per month charge, and (3) prevent pre-existing data center customers from participating in AEP's Basic Transmission Cost Rider Pilot Program.

**Enbridge**

**PUCO Prepares to Rule on Enbridge Rate Case  
(Case Nos. 23-894-EL-AIR et al.)**

**Summary:** Next week, the PUCO is scheduled to issue its opinion and order on the fully litigated Enbridge rate case, which seeks to impose a rate increase of about \$218.2 million (representing a 25.63% increase over current base distribution revenues). Notably, this case concluded back in February, an entire month after the AEP data center case, which has yet to be ruled upon.