

Volume 14, Issue 17 • May 28, 2025

**This summary contains confidential and privileged information pursuant to a confidentiality agreement and the attorney-client privilege.**

**DO NOT DISTRIBUTE OR SHARE WITH NON-MEMBERS.**

### **Statewide**

#### **OMAEG Supports House Bill 142 (HB 142)**

**Summary:** In its testimony opposing HB 142, OMAEG opposed, among other provisions, allowing utilities to collect money from customers for capital expenditures on a projected basis, regardless of whether those funds are actually used. Additionally, OMAEG testified that HB 142 contains harmful language that would require a utility's support before a settlement agreement can be presented to the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO), which could undermine the process for all future settlements by giving utilities unilateral veto power.

#### **Utilities Update Standard Service Offer (SSO) Rates**

**Summary:** Effective June 1, 2025, the SSO rates for the following utilities will be as follows:

<b><u>Utility</u></b>	<b><u>Updated price-to-compare rate</u></b>
AEP Ohio	\$0.0997/kWh (36% increase)
AES Ohio	\$0.0945/kWh (10% increase)
Cleveland Electric Illuminating	\$0.0911/kWh (27% increase)
Ohio Edison	\$0.0935/kWh (26% increase)
Toledo Edison	\$0.0952/kWh (29% increase)
Duke Energy Ohio	\$0.1045/kWh (30% increase)

### **FirstEnergy**

#### **OMAEG Urges the PUCO to Hold FirstEnergy Accountable for House Bill 6 (HB 6) Scandal (Case No. 17-974-EL-UNC et al.)**

**Summary:** OMAEG's expert witness testimony of John Seryak of Go Sustainable Energy urges the PUCO to, at minimum, require FirstEnergy to credit \$769 million, plus interest, back to Ohio customers in light of those funds being illegally obtained through bribery and corruption, and/or those funds being used to finance further bribery and corruption.