

Volume 9, Issue 49 • December 18, 2020

This Summary Contains Confidential and Privileged Information Pursuant to a Confidentiality Agreement and the Attorney-Client Privilege.
DO NOT DISTRIBUTE OR SHARE WITH NON-MEMBERS.

Statewide

OMAEG Asks Supreme Court to Suspend HB 6 Subsidies (Case No. 2020-1488, Appeal From PUCO No. 20-1143-EL-UNC)

Summary: On Dec. 17, 2020, the OMAEG [requested](#) that the Supreme Court of Ohio suspend the collection of the \$170 million annual HB 6 subsidies. Without the granting of OMAEG's request or a change of law, customers will begin paying the unlawful charges on Jan. 1, 2021. Ohio Attorney General Dave Yost filed in support of the OMAEG and asserted that the suspension of the HB 6 charges is essential because there is no provision that would provide customers a refund once the subsidies are dispersed.

AEP

OMAEG Submits Objections to AEP's Request to Increase Distribution Rates (Case Nos. 20-585-EL-AIR, et al.)

Summary: Today (Friday, Dec. 18), the OMAEG will be submitting objections to the PUCO staff report and AEP's request to increase its distribution rates by \$402.1 million. In addition to advocating for a reasonable rate of return, the OMAEG opposed various electric security plan riders that AEP unlawfully sought to include and modify in the rate case. The OMAEG also objected to AEP's proposal to implement an energy efficiency plan that would cost customers approximately \$40 million per year, plus \$3 million in shared savings.