

Volume 11, Issue 20 • May 20, 2022

**This Summary Contains Confidential and Privileged Information Pursuant to a Confidentiality Agreement and the Attorney-Client Privilege.
DO NOT DISTRIBUTE OR SHARE WITH NON-MEMBERS.**

AEP Ohio

AEP Claims It Doesn't Have Significantly Excessive Earnings (Case Nos. 22-482-EL-UNC)

Summary: AEP filed an application for review of its 2021 earnings under the Significantly Excessive Earnings Test (SEET). AEP asserts that its earnings are not significantly excessive, and that customers are not entitled to a refund.

PUCO Approves AEP Application to Update Generation Riders (Case No. 22-486-EL-RDR)

Summary: Due to recent increases in energy costs and the results of its Standard Service Offer (SSO) auction, AEP seeks to update its Generation Energy (GENE) and Generation Capacity Riders (GENC). Riders GENE and GENC are per-kWh charges, which apply to customers that do not take generation service from a competitive supplier.

Customers should check their competitive supplier contracts before choosing not to shop and switching to the SSO.

AES Ohio

Commission Hears Oral Argument on AES Ohio's Rate Freeze (Case No. 20-1651-EL-AIR)

Summary: The PUCO held oral arguments on the issue of whether the commission should enforce the rate freeze that AES Ohio previously stipulated to as part of its electric security plan. The OMAEG and other parties argued in favor of the rate freeze. During oral arguments, the PUCO questioned AES Ohio's attorney on why the utility should be allowed to retain the benefits of its electric security plan (including receiving \$76 million under the Rate Stabilization Charge) without being bound by the rate freeze.

**AES Ohio Claims It Doesn't Have Significantly Excessive Earnings
(Case Nos. 22-0514-EL-UNC)**

Summary: AES Ohio filed an application for SEET review of its 2021 earnings. AES Ohio asserts that its earnings are not significantly excessive, and that customers are not entitled to a refund.

FirstEnergy

**FirstEnergy Says It Doesn't Have Significantly Excessive Earnings
(Case Nos. 22-482-EL-UNC)**

Summary: FirstEnergy also filed an application for SEET review of its 2021 earnings. Like AEP, FirstEnergy asserts that its earnings are not significantly excessive, and that customers are not entitled to a refund.

**PUCO Approves FirstEnergy Application to Update Rider GEN
(Case No. 22-340-EL-RDR)**

Summary: The PUCO approved FirstEnergy's application to update its Rider GEN due to recent increases in energy costs and the results of FirstEnergy's SSO auction. Rider GEN is a per-kWh charge that applies to customers that do not take generation service from a competitive supplier. Customers should check their competitive supplier contracts before choosing not to shop and switching to the SSO.